

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,872	09/22/2003	Yuji Kondo	03500.017449	4237
5514 7	7590 10/18/2005	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1774	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers		10/664,872	KONDO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Betelhem Shewareged	1774				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
	Posponsive to communication(s) filed on 27.5	antambas 2005					
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{27 \text{ Se}}$. This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes This						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowar		eccution as to the morits is				
ت. ا	closed in accordance with the practice under E		•				
		ix parte quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 40	70 0.0. 210.				
Disposit	ion of Claims	••					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	•	•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		\				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		Addon of 101111 1 0-102.				
			4.10				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)l	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☒ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	⊧d.				
Attachmen	t(e)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
· —	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•				
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/25/2003</u> .	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's response filed on 09/27/2005 has been fully considered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-14 in the reply filed on 09/27/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the two groups are closely related. This is not found persuasive because the two groups are distinct. The search for the invention in group I is conducted in class 428, and the search for the invention in group II is searched in class 427.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 7, 9 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: The use of the terms "high" and "low" rendered the claims ambiguous. The terms are neither defined in the specification nor do they have well-defined meaning in the art. The necessary degrees of high value and low value have not been defined.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA)

Application/Control Number: 10/664,872

Art Unit: 1774

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 and 9 of copending Application No. 10/909,281. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application overlap with the claims of 10/909,281, and they would be obvious.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 571-272-1529. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B.S. October 6, 2005.

BETELHEM SHEWAREGER PRIMARY EXAMINER